

BRINGING FULLY COMPETITIVE BROADBAND TO AMERICA¹

FILED ELECTRONICALLY

March 13, 2008

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Ex Parte Presentations in MB Docket No. 07-198.

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Pursuant to Section 1.1206 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.1206, The Broadband Service Providers Association (BSPA) submits this notice of an *ex parte* presentation in the above-captioned proceedings.

On March 12, 2008, Jack Day, SureWest; Amy Mehlman, RCN; Bill Heaston; and I had meetings on behalf of the Broadband Service Providers Association (BSPA) with Monica Desai, and David Konczal from the Media Bureau to discuss the Commission's rulemaking on Program Access Rules and Examination of Programming Tying Arrangements.

At this meeting we discussed the policy recommendations made by the BSPA and its members as part of comments filed in MB docket No. 07-198. These topics included our recommendation that rather than immediately adopting regulations on tying and bundling, the FCC convene a special staff to study carriage issues and programming practices, including the tying and bundling of programming, requirements related to the placement of programming on a particular tier, and evolving industry structure. We also discussed our recommendations for FCC Arbitration and access to live sports programming. A copy of the meeting discussion points is attached as reference.

Respectfully submitted for,

Broadband Service Providers Association

By:	/s/
_	John Goodman, Executive Director
	BSPA
	1601 K Street NW

¹ The current members of BSPA, all of which are last-mile, facilities-based providers, are: Everest Connections, Hiawatha Broadband, Knology, RCN, and SureWest Communications. BSPA is located at 1601 K Street NW, Washington, DC 20006. Phone: 202.661.3945

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary July 25, 2007 Page 2

Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 661-3945

1 Enclosure

cc: Monica Desai, David Konczal

Broadband Service Providers Association (BSPA) FCC Media Bureau Discussion Outline, March 12, 2008 Proceeding 07-198

BSPA Attendees:

Jack Day, SureWest Amy Mehlman, RCN Bill Heaston, Knology John Goodman, BSPA

The BSPA has proposed several FCC actions in its filed Comments and Reply Comments in the 07-198 proceeding. In some instances these policy positions and proposals were unique to the BSPA.

- 1. Proposal for FCC Sponsored Analysis of Carriage in All-digital Networks.
 - a. The scope of current analysis has inherent limits or bias.
 - i. Current submitted economic analysis is all sponsored by the broadcast segment of the industry.
 - ii. None of the analysis centers on the new realities of all-digital networks.
 - iii. Significant amount tied to 2004 a-la-carte proceeding.
 - b. New carriage policies should be enacted in the context of all-digital networks.
 - c. History of significant FCC studies.
 - d. Request that the FCC immediately open a proceeding to initiate the study with the goal of completion in 12 months.
- 2. Proposals for Sports Programming
 - a. We assume that access to cable affiliated sports programming will be covered by closing the terrestrial loophole.
 - b. All other sports programming still have two major issues.
 - i. Assured access. (NFL Sunday Ticket)
 - ii. Restrictive carriage requirements. (NFL Network)
 - 1. Forced carriage limits other options.
 - 2. Major impact on cable pricing.
 - c. BSPA Sports Policy Objectives

- i. No live sports exclusives for any MVPD.
- ii. The freedom to always offer sports programming for standalone purchase.

- 3. Professional Sports Leagues and the Antitrust Exemption
 - a. It should be noted that the Anti-trust exemption does not apply to NFL Sunday Ticket or NFL Network.
 - b. We do not advocate repeal of the antitrust exemption.
 - c. We do advocate that the carriage conditions related to the antitrust exemption be updated to today's realities.
 - i. The original rules have not been updated since the 1960s.
 - ii. The original rules did not provide for any forms of MVPD exclusives. Broadcasters with game rights were available to all fans and then all cable or satellite operators.
 - iii. Rules need to be updated and expanded to account for the multiple competing MVPDs and the digital carriage (Std. and HD) we have now and expect to expand in 2009.
 - d. Options to update antitrust carriage rules.
 - i. The FCC determines that it has the authority to do this now.
 - ii. The FCC asks for authority to do this from Congress.
 - iii. Congress takes on this responsibility by passing an amendment to the antitrust law that:
 - 1. Expands coverage of the antitrust exemption.
 - 2. Gives authority to the FCC to determine carriage rules.
 - 3. Specifies carriage rules.
- 4. Proposal for FCC Arbitration
 - a. We have proposed an initial draft of FCC procedures.
 - b. We support arbitration as a preferred remedy to other solutions that would move the FCC toward being a price regulator.
 - c. We see no legal barriers to FCC Arbitration as defined in our comments.
 - d. Issue of What to Arbitrate? Will the FCC process determine what channel or channels will be subject to last-best offer?